Expert Review

Rheumatoid Arthritis: The Continuum of Disease and Strategies for Prediction, Early Intervention, and Prevention

Liam J. O'Neil¹, Deshiré Alpízar-Rodríguez², and Kevin D. Deane³

ABSTRACT. Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is known to include a pre-RA stage that can be defined as the presence of familial or genetic risk factors, biomarker abnormalities (eg, anticitrullinated protein antibodies [ACPA]), symptoms, and even abnormal imaging findings prior to the development of the onset of clinical RA with inflammatory arthritis that is apparent on physical examination. Indeed, there are multiple completed or ongoing retrospective case-control as well as prospective observational studies to identify the key biologic drivers of disease. Further, building on the predictive ability of combinations of biomarkers, symptoms, and imaging for future RA, there are multiple clinical trials completed, underway, or in development to identify approaches that may prevent, delay, or ameliorate future clinical RA in at-risk individuals. Importantly, however, although an effective preventive intervention has not yet been identified, at-risk individuals are being increasingly identified in clinical care; this presents a challenge of how to manage these individuals in clinical practice. This review will discuss the current understanding of the biology and natural history of RA development, nomenclature, and current models for prediction of future RA, as well as evaluate the current and ongoing clinical prevention trials with the overall goal to provide insights into the challenges and opportunities in the field of RA prevention. Moreover, this review will provide up-to-date options for clinical management of individuals at risk for RA.

Key Indexing Terms: preclinical rheumatoid arthritis, prediction, prevention, rheumatoid arthritis

Rheumatoid arthritis: overview and current management

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is typically diagnosed in clinical care based on the presence of symptoms and signs of active joint inflammation (ie, a swollen joint on physical examination consistent with synovitis), as well as biomarkers such as autoantibodies and imaging findings that can demonstrate joint inflammation and/or damage. This diagnosis can be termed "clinical RA." In addition, there are established classification criteria for RA, which include the 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria¹ and the 2010 ACR/European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) criteria.² There are also 2 categories of clinical RA termed "seropositive" and

KDD's work on this manuscript was supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIH/NIAMS P30 AR079369).

¹L.J. O'Neil, MD, MHSc, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; ²D. Alpizar-Rodríguez, MD, PhD, Research Unit, Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología, Mexico City, Mexico; ³K.D. Deane, MD, PhD, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado, USA.

KDD has received consulting fees from Werfen and BMS, and grant funding from Janssen Research and Development and Gilead Sciences. DAR is a scientific advisor for GSK Mexico. LJO has received consulting fees from BMS.

Address correspondence to Dr. K.D. Deane, University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus, 1775 Aurora Court, Mail Stop B115, Aurora, CO 80045, USA. Email: kevin.deane@cuanschutz.edu.

Accepted for publication November 23, 2023.

"seronegative," defined as the presence or absence, respectively, of serum elevations of autoantibodies, which currently include rheumatoid factor (RF) and/or anticitrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA). After a diagnosis is made, treatment is typically initiated with disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) therapies that have been established as effective in treating the primary disease manifestation of inflammatory arthritis (IA) in controlled clinical trials.³ For the majority of individuals who are diagnosed with clinical RA, DMARD therapy results in improved well-being and function as well as reduced joint damage, with a subset of individuals reaching disease remission and an even smaller subset reaching DMARD-free remission.⁴⁻⁶ However, for the majority of individuals who develop clinical RA, it is a disease that will require lifelong therapy, with ongoing adverse effects on their well-being and finances, and sustained remission is infrequent (< 50% of patients in some studies⁶⁻¹⁰). As such, ultimately, the prevention of RA may result in substantially less impact on personal and public health.

RA begins prior to the appearance of clinically apparent IA

There is established and growing evidence that RA develops in a series of stages, as outlined in the Figure. In general, it appears that the natural history of RA begins prior to clinical RA during a period that can be termed "pre-RA," where genetic and environmental factors interact to drive early breaks in immune tolerance that, to date, have been best identified through blood elevations of autoantibodies, including RF and ACPA,¹¹⁻¹⁶

© 2024 The Journal of Rheumatology. This is an Open Access article, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction, without modification, provided the original article is correctly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.



Figure. Model of seropositive RA development. Genetic and environmental risk factors combine to trigger initial autoimmunity, potentially at mucosal sites. This autoimmunity may then progress to clinically apparent IA, which can be termed "clinical RA." Notably, detectable autoimmunity and/or subclinical arthritis in absence of clinical RA may be termed "pre-RA." Some individuals may not progress through all stages. ACPA: anticitrullinated protein antibody; IA: inflammatory arthritis; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RF: rheumatoid factor.

although multiple other autoantibodies including anticarbamylated protein (anti-CarP),¹⁷ antimalondialdehyde-acetaldehyde (anti-MAA) antibodies,¹⁸ and antipeptidyl arginine deiminase (anti-PAD) antibodies¹⁹ have been identified. These antibodies are elevated, on average, approximately 3 to 5 years prior to a diagnosis of clinical RA (although up to years, or longer, in some cases).^{11,12,20} Further, array-based technologies have also identified that ACPA undergo epitope spreading in pre-RA.²¹ ACPA have been shown to undergo variable domain (Fab) N-linked glycosylation,²² and glycosylation changes in ACPA have been shown to independently associate with the development of future IA in ACPA-positive individuals.²³ Studies have also demonstrated that autoantibody elevations can be present in conjunction with altered T cell subsets, ²⁴ B cell and interferon signatures,^{25,26} and a general increase in inflammation (eg, elevated C-reactive protein, calprotectin,²⁷ and cytokines and chemokines^{13,28,29}).

Along with the expansion in autoimmunity and inflammation, symptoms (eg, joint pain, stiffness and swelling, and fatigue) may evolve. There is growing evidence that although IA may not be identifiable on physical examination, features of synovitis or even joint damage may be seen on imaging and can therefore be termed "subclinical IA"; further, tenosynovitis may be one of the first manifestations of RA musculoskeletal (MSK)-related tissue injury.^{21,22,30,31} Disease can then progress to overt clinically apparent IA that is clinically diagnosed as RA (ie, clinical RA) and may further meet established classification criteria.^{1,2} Notably, the features and nomenclature to define and label each of these stages of RA development are somewhat variable (Figure and Table 1), and are best understood in seropositive RA. Notably, when referring to individuals who may be in a pre-RA state, a EULAR task force determined that the term "pre-RA" should only be applied retrospectively once it was known that an individual had developed clinical RA.³² As such, the term "at-risk individual" is often used to describe individuals who exhibit some risk factors for future RA but whose future development of clinical RA is unknown.

There are multiple genetic and environmental factors that have been associated with an increased risk for clinical $RA,^{23}$

although most studies that have associated genetic and environmental risk factors with RA have been performed in a case-control fashion in individuals with established clinical RA. As such, these factors may not be truly associated with the initial generation of autoimmunity that may have occurred years prior to clinical RA. However, there are a growing number of prospective studies in at-risk populations that have identified factors that are associated with increased risk for future clinical RA (Box 1). For genetic factors, the strongest identified is a set of alleles coding certain sequences in the HLA region that in aggregate are called the shared epitope (SE)³³; although findings are mixed, several studies demonstrate that SE is associated with ACPA positivity as well as a transition to future clinical RA in ACPA-positive individuals.³⁴⁻³⁶ Further, in a nested case-control study within the Nurses' Health Study, a score derived from 22 genetic variants predicted RA risk, suggesting genes beyond the SE are related to progression to clinical RA.³⁷ For environmental factors, smoking is the most reproducibly associated with a higher future risk of RA.^{38,39} In addition, a number of studies have identified obesity as a risk factor for clinical RA,^{40,41} and that an antiinflammatory diet and higher levels of exercise may be protective.⁴² Moderate alcohol intake has also consistently been identified as protective against future RA development, although the mechanisms behind this are not yet clear.^{42,45} Multiple metabolic and/or immunologic processes have been purported to play a role in RA development, including fatty acid pathways that may be influenced by dietary intake.⁴⁶ As RA is more prevalent in women, reproductive and menopausal factors have been extensively studied. Postmenopause, postpartum period, and use of antiestrogen agents have been consistently associated with RA onset^{47,48}; however, although a study in an at-risk population has demonstrated an association between the use of oral contraceptives and decreased risk for RF positivity,⁴⁹ the role of hormonal factors, including hormonal therapy, in the pre-RA period remains controversial.

Importantly, it is hypothesized that the early generation of autoimmunity occurs outside of the joints during a period that can be termed a "nonarticular" stage of RA development

Table 1. Terminology used to describe the natural history of RA.

Term	Definition
Pre-RA, preclinical RA	The stage prior to the development of clinical RA. May be defined in several ways, including presence of autoantibodies, abnormal symptoms, and imaging findings.
At-risk	Any state in which an individual has a higher-than-average risk of developing RA but does not have current IA (ie, being an FDR of a patient with RA, presence of autoan- tibodies). Notably, a EULAR task force that included individuals with clinical RA, as well as individuals who are at-risk for future RA, determined that the term "pre-RA" should only be used retrospectively once it was known that an individual developed clinical RA. ³² As such, the term "at-risk individual" is often used to describe individuals who exhibit some risk factors for future RA but whose future status of clinical RA is unknown.
First-degree relative (FDR)	An individual who is related in the first degree (ie, parent, full sibling, offspring) to an individual with a specific disease. An FDR shares genetic and often environmental risk factors for developing the disease of interest.
Clinically suspect arthralgia (CSA)	A combination of signs and symptoms that is suggestive of the presence and/or risk for IA. This includes, but is not limited to, morning stiffness and pain in the small joints. The pain may or may not be elicited on physical examination.
Clinically apparent IA	A clinical finding of joint synovitis in the absence of trauma that is suggestive of the earliest stage of RA. A joint with synovitis is typically tender on range of motion/pal- pation, swollen with a palpable effusion, and warmer than noninflamed joints.
Palindromic rheumatism (PR)	Recurrent episodes of IA, typically involving the small joints of the hands and feet, that resolves spontaneously with limited or no symptoms between events. A subset of patients with PR will develop classifiable RA.
Subclinical IA	The presence of inflammation in a joint detected by imaging, typically by MRI or US, that is suggestive of IA, and a physical examination of the involved joint that is not suggestive of synovitis (ie, there is an absence of joint swelling).
Undifferentiated arthritis Clinical RA	IA that does not fulfill established classification criteria for RA or any other disease. Clinically apparent IA, with or without autoantibodies, that a clinician diagnoses and treats as RA. This includes individuals who have classifiable RA and those who do not. For example, a patient with low positive ACPA/RF and 3 swollen small joints may not have classifiable RA but still be diagnosed and treated as having RA.
Classifiable RA	A patient who meets established classification criteria for RA, either the 1987 ACR or 2010 ACR/EULAR criteria. ¹²
Seropositive RA	Clinical RA with serum elevations of RA-associated antibodies, such as RF or ACPA.
Seronegative RA	Clinical RA without serum elevations of RA-associated antibodies, such as RF or ACPA.
Early RA	A patient who meets classification criteria for RA for a short duration, typically < 1 yr, although definitions vary in the literature.

ACPA: anticitrullinated protein antibody; ACR: American College of Rheumatology; CRP: C-reactive protein; EULAR: European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology; IA: inflammatory arthritis; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RF: rheumatoid factor; US: ultrasound.

(Figure).^{50,51} Further supporting a nonarticular stage of pre-RA, studies have shown an absence of synovitis on synovial biopsy in individuals who have circulating ACPA or RF.^{52,53} As to where this autoimmunity is generated, there are some data supporting that it may be at mucosal sites, including the periodontium, lung, and gut.⁵⁴ In particular, there are several studies that have suggested that lung disease, including airways or interstitial lung disease, may precede the onset of articular RA,⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ and that RA-related autoantibodies may be generated in the lung in some individuals prior to clinical RA onset.⁵⁸ In addition, there is growing evidence that certain microbial factors that are present at mucosal surfaces may be associated with RA-related autoimmunity.⁵⁹⁻⁶²

Overall, an emerging concept is that the evolution of RA is characterized by a series of transitions, where an individual

progresses from a stage of risk factors to detectable autoimmunity, then clinical RA, with each of these transitions likely related to genetic, environmental, and stochastic factors, as well as some failed immunoregulatory checkpoint.⁶³ However, there are several additional considerations regarding this model of RA development. First, these transitions are not necessarily consecutive or mutually exclusive. Second, not all individuals who develop detectable circulating RA-related autoimmunity develop clinical RA, indicating that the development of autoimmunity is not an indication of inevitable clinical RA. Third, the trajectories of autoimmunity, symptoms, and the onset of clinical RA differ among individuals. Multiple studies have shown that autoantibodies may be elevated, on average, 3 to 5 years prior to the onset of clinical RA; however, some studies also demonstrate elevations over 15 years prior to clinical RA onset.^{11,20} For some, clinical RA may be explosive, with multiple joints developing IA in a short time. Others may have gradual accumulation of IA, and still others may have waxing and waning findings (which can be termed "palindromic rheumatism"^{64,65}). The precise relationship between symptoms and autoimmunity is not clear; all these issues will need further study.

Predicting future clinical RA

Many prospective studies have sought to develop prediction strategies to identify individuals at high risk of developing clinical RA (Table 2). Autoantibodies are the best studied predictor of RA risk, and ACPA and RF status have been shown in multiple studies to predict future RA.^{13,27-30} Importantly, increased ACPA levels clearly confer a higher risk of RA.^{29,66} Notably, there may be differences in the predictive ability between the various commercially available assays for ACPA, although additional research is needed to clarify the specific differences.^{67,68} Multiple other autoantibody systems have been identified in the pre-RA period, including anti-CarP,⁶⁹ anti-MAA, and anti-PAD,^{14,18,19,70} but their overall additive predictive value needs further validation. Other blood-based biomarkers, including cytokines, chemokines, and cell subsets, have also been explored in RA prediction. Particularly in prospective studies, alterations of T cell subsets,⁷¹ presence of B cell signatures,²⁵ and presence of a type 1 interferon signature²⁶ were associated with increased risk for incident clinical RA, although these findings have not yet been widely replicated. Preliminary studies on serum proteomics³⁵⁻³⁷ and sputum abnormalities^{38,39} suggest that additional biomarkers may be of utility in RA prediction, but further study and validation are needed.

Imaging has shown promise in RA prediction. Ultrasound (US) power Doppler, which can identify increased synovial blood flow indicative of inflammation in antibody-positive individuals with arthralgia^{43,44} and early erosive disease, particularly in the feet,⁴⁵ are predictors of RA development. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) detection of joint inflammation (synovial and/or tenosynovial) is associated with future RA, particularly in those who are ACPA-positive.^{22,51} Notably, imaging evidence of tenosynovitis in the hands is also independently associated with future RA development in individuals with clinically suspect arthralgia (CSA) and ACPA positivity, and may suggest that the tenosynovium is one of the first joint-related targets in RA development.⁴⁶

Joint or other MSK symptoms of pain, stiffness, and swelling, although nonspecific, are important predictors of the development of future clinical RA.^{65,72-75} A set of symptoms and timing corresponding to CSA have been developed by a EULAR task force derived from patients with joint pain without evidence of arthritis.72 The characteristics defining CSA include timing of joint symptoms, location of symptoms in the metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints, morning stiffness > 60 minutes, more severe symptoms in the morning, first-degree relatives (FDR) with RA, difficulty making a fist, and positive squeeze test of the MCPs. This was evaluated in a separate prospective study of individuals with joint/MSK symptoms that demonstrated that if \geq 3 variables were present based on a rheumatologist evaluation, there was a positive predictive value (PPV) of ~30% for future clinical RA; however, if this was applied in individuals prior to a rheumatology evaluation, the PPV was ~3%.74

Demographics, environmental exposures, and genetic factors

Box 1. Risk and protective factors for future RA development evaluated in prospective studies or cross-sectional studies of individuals at risk for or with pre-RA.

Genetic and familial risk factors

- Shared epitope associated with higher risk for transition to RA in ACPA+ individuals at baseline.³⁴
- Genetic risk score using 22 variants associated with increased risk of RA.³⁷
- FDR status increased risk of progression to articular RA in arthralgia cohort.⁴⁵ Certain populations have a high risk for RA, including
 populations indigenous to the Americas, who have ~5- to 7-fold increased risk for RA compared to non-Indigenous populations.¹¹³
 Sex-related factors
 - Female sex, given women have a 2- to 3-fold higher risk for RA compared to men.²³
 - Longer duration of breastfeeding and higher parity are protective.¹¹⁴
 - Oral contraceptive use associated with decreased autoantibody positivity in individuals at risk for RA (eg, FDRs).⁴⁹
- Environmental factors (reviewed in Deane et al²³ and Zaccardelli et al 2019⁴²)
 - Increased risk for RA
 - Cigarette smoking, especially long duration, and high-intensity smoking
 - Obesity
 - Inflammatory diet
 - Protective against RA
 - Moderate alcohol consumption
 - High intake of fatty fish and omega-3 fatty acids

Other factors

- Mucosal and/or microbiome influences
 - Lung disease (airways, parenchymal)¹¹⁵
 - Periodontal inflammation¹¹⁶
 - Multiple organisms including viruses and bacteria have been associated within increased risk for RA
 - Stress and/or stress responses and potentially mental health (complex and unclear if stress/mental health could drive autoimmunity, or be influenced by autoimmunity, or both)^{117,118}

ACPA: anticitrullinated protein antibody; FDR: first-degree relative; RA: rheumatoid arthritis.

Publication, Year	Country / Population	Study Type	No. of Subjects and Incident IA/RA	Key Findings
del Puente, 1988 ¹⁵	USA, Akimel O'Odham (Pima) people	Prospective cohort study	2712 subjects; 70 (2.6%) with incident IA/RA after up to 19 yrs of follow-up	The highest rate of development of RA (48/1000 PYs) was in subjects with baseline RF titer of > 1:256.
Silman, 1992 ¹⁶	UK	Prospective cohort study	370 unaffected FDRs from families with RA; 14 with incident RA	Incident RA was highest in subjects with RF positivity.
van de Stadt, 2013 ⁴⁵	The Netherlands	s Prospective study of individuals presenting to rheumatology clinics	347 subjects with RF and/or ACPA g positivity but no IA at baseline; i 131 with incident IA/RA after a median of 12 mos	A score was developed assigning 1 point for each of the following that were present: positive FDR status, no alcohol consumption (use of alcohol was protective), symptoms starting < 12 mos prior, intermittent symptoms, symptoms in upper and lower extremities, VAS of \geq 50 mm, morning stiffness \geq 60 mins, self-reported swelling in any joint; in addition, up to 4 points were assigned if both RF and ACPA were positive. In individuals with scores of \geq 7, 74% developed IA/RA within 3 yrs.
de Hair, 2013 ³⁹	The Netherlands	s Prospective study of ACPA+ and/or RF+ subjects	55 subjects; 15 (27%) with incident IA after a median of 13 mos	Nonsmokers and those with normal body weight had the lowest rates of progression to IA/RA.
Ramos-Remus, 2015 ⁷⁶	Mexico	Prospective study of unaffected FDRs of patients with RA	819 FDRs; 17 (2.1%) with incident IA/RA over 5 yrs	ACPA positivity with or without concomitant RF positivity had PPVs of 58-64% for development of RA during follow-up.
Rakich, 2015 ³⁴	UK	Prospective study of ACPA+ (CCP2) subject with arthralgia referred t rheumatology clinics	100 ACPA+ individuals; 50 with incident IA/RA after o a median of 7.9 mos	A score was developed assigning 1 point for each of the following: tender joints, morning stiffness > 30 mins, presence of the shared epitope, high levels of RF and/or ACPA, and the presence of US power Doppler findings in \geq 1 joint. In individuals with the highest scores (\geq 2), > 41% developed IA/RA within 24 mos, and in individuals with scores of \geq 4, 68% developed IA within 24 mos.
Burgers, 2017 ⁷⁴	The Netherlands and Sweden	s Prospective study of subjects with arthralgia	178 subjects with arthralgia meeting EULAR criteria for CSA at baseline; 44 (18%) developed incident IA/RA after a median of 16 wks	Study to validate the EULAR definition for clinically suspect arthralgia. ⁷¹ The presence of \geq 3 of the following factors was ~84% sensitive and had a PPV of ~30% for IA/RA within 2 yrs: duration of onset of symptoms < 1 yr, symptoms in MCP joints, morning stiffness \geq 60 mins, more severe morning symptoms, having an FDR with RA, and on examination, difficulty making a fist and tenderness with an MCP squeeze. However, PPV for IA was much less if the criteria were applied by a nonrheumatologist practitioner (PPV ~3%).
Tanner, 2019 ⁷⁸	Canada	Prospective cohort study of FDRs of patients with RA	374 subjects; 10.9% were ACPA+ at baseline; 18 (4.8%) developed IA/RA after ~5 yrs of follow up	ACPA+/RF+ individuals at baseline developed IA at a higher rate/1000 PYs (97.1) than ACPA+/RF- (36.4), ACPA-/RF+ (7.2), and ACPA-/RF- (4.1). ACPA+/RF+ were just as likely to become autoantibody negative as developing IA after 5 yrs.
Gilbert, 2021 ⁷⁹	Switzerland	Prospective cohort study of FDRs of patients with RA	1458 subjects; ~5% were ACPA+ at baseline; ~17% were RF– IgM+	As of 2021, 16 individuals had developed incident IA and were predominately ACPA+.
Bemis, 2021 ⁷⁷	USA	Prospective cohort study of FDRs of patients with RA	1780 subjects; 304 antibody + (17.1%) at baseline; 20 (15.3% of antibody +) developed IA after ~4.5 yrs of follow up	ACPA+/RF+ individuals at screening developed IA at a higher rate (38%) than ACPA+ alone (15%) and RF+ alone (9%). High level ACPA (ie, $\ge 2 \times ULN$) at screening was associated with the development of IA (HR 4.1).

Table 2. Prediction of future IA and RA in prospective studies of at-risk populations.

Table 2.	Continue	d
----------	----------	---

Publication, Year	Country / Population	Study Type	No. of Subjects and Incident IA/RA	Key Findings
Bergstedt, 2022 ³⁵	USA	Prospective cohort study of ACPA+ individuals found through health-fair screening	90 ACPA+ individuals; 26 (29%) developed IA after ~2 yrs of follow up	Those who developed IA had a higher prevalence of SE (69% vs 38%). High level ACPA (≥ 2 × ULN) was higher in those who developed IA (85% vs 60%). Dual RF-IgA and RF-IgM+ was associated with IA (HR 2.9).
Duquenne, 2023 ⁶⁶	UK	Prospective cohort study of individuals found through clinical referrals for joint symptoms	455 ACPA+ (CCP2) subjects without baseline IA; 148 (~33%) developed clinical IA over a median follow-up of 223 wks	Developed scoring systems to predict IA within 1 yr: A simple score used to triage referrals to secondary care, which included morning stiffness > 30 min, ACPA level, RF+, and elevated ESR. A score above the threshold had a PPV of ~28% for IA development within 1 yr. A comprehensive score used in secondary care which included age > 50 yrs, ever smoker, morning stiffness, ACPA level, RF+, SE+, ESR high, VAS global pain, HAQ score, US PD signal, US tenosynovitis+, US erosion+. A score above the threshold had a PPV of ~70% for IA development within 1 yr.

ACPA: anticitrullinated protein antibodies; CCP2: cyclic citrullinated peptide 2; CSA: clinically suspect arthralgia; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; EULAR: European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology; FDR: first-degree relative; HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire; HR: hazard ratio; IA: inflammatory arthritis; MCP: metacarpophalangeal joints; PD: power doppler; PPV: positive predictive value; PY: person-year; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RF: rheumatoid factor; SE: shared epitope; ULN: upper limit of normal; US: ultrasound; VAS: visual analog score.

also contribute to the prediction of future RA. These potentially include age, sex, family history of RA, smoking, dietary factors, obesity, and the SE.^{41,42,66}

Multiple studies have used combinations of factors to develop prediction models for future clinical RA. The models in general include combinations of demographic features, joint symptoms, examination findings, autoantibodies, and in some cases, imaging findings. The details of these studies are included in Table 2, and the rates of development of RA in clinical trials in Table 3. In general, the models impart PPVs of ~20% to 70% for clinical RA development within 1 to 5 years, with the higher PPVs found with the presence of multiple risk factors for RA. Further, in most models, high levels of ACPA impart the highest risk for future clinical RA. Importantly, the methods to identify individuals who are at risk for future RA differ across studies. In particular, most studies of pre-RA have focused on at-risk populations identified through referrals from primary care of individuals with joint/MSK symptoms, and therefore it may be reasonable to assume that prediction models are most applicable in those populations. However, several studies have identified at-risk individuals through methods such as testing high-risk populations (eg, Indigenous North Americans), FDRs of individuals with RA, or health fair participants for ACPA, and then following those individuals longitudinally.^{15,35,76-78} In these studies, ACPA positivity is generally associated with rates of clinical IA development of ~20% to 30%, or higher, within 5 years, although 1 FDR cohort reported an incidence rate of RA of ~2 cases per 1000 person-years.⁷⁹ These rates are similar to general rates seen in populations of individuals at risk for RA identified through clinic referrals for joint/MSK symptoms. This could be because even individuals who participate in population-based studies may do so because of a higher degree of symptoms, although this has not been evaluated in depth in published work. Additional studies of how the mechanism by which individuals are identified as being at risk for RA should be performed to develop predictive models that are applicable in these settings.

RA prevention clinical trials

Given the growing understanding of the pre-RA state and prediction models for future RA, multiple clinical trials aimed at preventing the first onset of clinical RA have been implemented. These trials are further underpinned by the "window of opportunity" concept, where earlier treatment once an individual has clinical RA leads to improved long-term outcomes and therefore moving interventions into a pre-RA period may prevent or delay the onset of clinical RA.⁸⁰⁻⁸³

Of the completed RA prevention studies (Table 3), most have used medications that are already approved for use in the treatment of clinical RA. The rationale for this is that RA-approved drugs improve clinical RA in many patients⁸⁴; thus, it is conceivable that the same medications might also delay or prevent the first onset of clinical RA. Further, the safety profile of DMARDs used to treat RA is well established and therefore regulatory agencies are more likely to approve their use in prevention trials. Moreover, many at-risk populations (eg, FDRs) who are likely to be recruited to prevention studies are familiar with their use.

A pioneering study of RA prevention was undertaken in a clinical trial using intramuscular dexamethasone.⁸⁵ The trial

Table 3. Summary of published trials to prevent/delay incident clinical RA in high-risk populations^a.

Study, Year	Inclusion Criteria	Study Design and Intervention	Primary Outcome	Results
Bos, 2010 ⁸⁵	RF and/or ACPA+; SE+; arthralgia 10	RCT; dexamethasone 00 mg IM × 2 doses vs PBO	Incident clinical IA	17/83 (21%) developed IA after a median follow-up of 26 mos; no difference between arms (dexamethasone 21% vs PBO 20%). Dexamethasone use associated with decreased autoantibody levels.
Gerlag, 2019 (PRAIRI) ⁸⁶	RF and ACPA+; CRP > 0.6 mg/L; 1 arthralgia	RCT; RTX 1000 mg × dose (and steroid) vs PBO	Incident clinical IA	30/81 (37%) developed IA after a mean follow-up of 29 mos; no significant difference in overall rates of IA between arms (RTX 14/41 [34%], PBO 14/40 [40%]); RTX associated with delay of IA onset.
van Boheemen, 2021 (STAPRA) ⁸⁷	RF and ACPA+ R or ACPA > 3 × ULN; arthralgia	CT; atorvastatin 40 mg/d × 3 yrs vs PBO	Incident clinical IA	15/62 (24%) developed IA after a median follow-up of 14 mos; no significant difference between arms (atorvastatin 9/31 [29%] vs PBO 6/31 [19%]).
Krijbolder, 2022 (TREAT EARLIER) ⁸⁸	Arthralgia and MRI I evidence of joint inflammation in absence of clinical swollen joint; RF/ACPA not required for inclusion although 339 of participants were RF and/or ACPA+	RCT; methylprednisolone 120 mg × 1 dose and MTX up to 25 mg/wk × 1 yr vs PBO; 1-yr 6 postdrug follow-up	RA by 2010 criteria present at 2 timepoints 2 wks apart	44/236 (19%) developed RA over the 2 yrs of the trial; no significant differences between arms (MTX 23/119 [19%], PBO 21/117 [18%]; decreased measures of physical function, pain, and MRI inflammation in MTX-treated group. The highest rate of RA development was within ACPA+ individuals (27/54 [50%]), although there was no significant difference in rates between arms at 2 yrs.
Rech, 2021, 2022 (ARIAA) ^{92,93}	ACPA+ and MRI evidenc	e RCT; ABA 125 mg SC weekly × 6 mos vs PBO; 1-yr postdrug follow-up	MRI inflammatory parameter improvement; clinical RA	In preliminary analyses, at 18 mos there was significant MRI improvement in ABA arm compared to PBO (28/49 [57%] vs 14/49 [29%]). At 18 mos there was also significantly less progression to clinical RA in ABA arm compared to PBO (17/49 [35%] vs 28/49 [57%]).
Deane, 2022 (StopRA) ^{91,b}	ACPA ≥ 2 × ULN	RCT; HCQ 200-400 mg/d × 1 yr vs PBO; 2 yrs postdrug follow-up	RA by 2010 criteria	In preliminary analyses, 43/144 (30%) developed RA over the 3 yrs of the study; no significant differences between arms (24/71 [34%], PBO 26/73 [36%]); trial halted and final analyses pending.
Cope, 2023 (APIPPRA) ^{95,b}	ACPA+, RF+ or ACPA ≥ 3 × ULN; arthralgia	RCT; ABA 125 mg weekly injection × 1 yr vs PBO; 1-yr postdrug follow-up	RA by 2010 criteria	In preliminary analyses, at 2 yrs, 65/213 (\sim 31%) of participants developed RA by 2010 criteria; 25% in ABA arm and 37% in PBO arm. This resulted in differences in mean arthritis-free survival time between arms of \sim 99 days ($P = 0.002$).

^a Although the inclusion criteria varied across studies, in all studies, no subjects could have clinical RA at baseline, and the primary endpoint included the development of examination evidence of IA. ^b The results from ARIAA, StopRA, and APIPPRA have only been presented in abstract form. ABA: abatacept; ACPA: anticitrullinated protein antibodies; APIPPRA: Arthritis Prevention in the Pre-Clinical Phase of RA With Abatacept; ARIAA: Abatacept Reversing Subclinical Inflammation as Measured by MRI in ACPA Positive Arthralgia; CRP: C-reactive protein; HCQ: hydroxychloroquine; IA: inflammatory arthritis; IM: intramuscular; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; MTX: methotrexate; PBO: placebo; PRAIRI: Prevention of Clinically Manifest Rheumatoid Arthritis by B Cell Directed Therapy in the Earliest Phase of the Disease; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RF: rheumatoid factor; RTX: rituimab; SE: shared epitope; SC: subcutaneous; STAPRA: Statins to Prevent Rheumatoid Arthritis; StopRA: Strategy to prevent the Onset of Clinically-Apparent Rheumatoid Arthritis; ULN: upper limit of normal.

enrolled at-risk individuals with elevated autoantibodies (ACPA or RF), joint symptoms, as well as the SE. The development of IA was no different between placebo and treatment groups after 2 years of follow-up,⁸⁵ although antibody levels were significantly lower in the dexamethasone group. In the Prevention of Clinically Manifest Rheumatoid Arthritis by B Cell Directed Therapy in the Earliest Phase of the Disease (PRAIRI) study, 81 ACPA- and RF-positive individuals with C-reactive protein elevation and/or imaging evidence of inflammation and without clinical IA were randomized 1:1 in a masked, placebo-controlled

fashion to receive a single dose of intravenous (IV) rituximab (RTX; 1000 mg) vs placebo,⁸⁶ and all subjects also received 100 mg of IV methylprednisolone. There were no significant differences in overall rates of clinical IA after 29 months of follow-up between arms (RTX 34%, placebo 40%; P = 0.448); however, RTX delayed arthritis onset by approximately 5 months (16.5 vs 11.5 months) compared to placebo. In the Statins to Prevent Rheumatoid Arthritis (STAPRA) study, atorvastatin 40 mg daily for 3 years in ACPA-positive individuals failed to show any difference in arthritis onset after 3 years of

follow-up,⁸⁷ though the study suffered from slow recruitment and was possibly underpowered.

In the TREAT EARLIER study, 236 individuals with CSA and MRI-detectable synovitis, tenosynovitis, and/or osteitis, yet without clinical IA, were randomized 1:1 in a masked, placebo-controlled fashion to receive methotrexate (MTX; dosed orally up to 25 mg/week) for 1 year.⁸⁸ Both autoantibody-positive and -negative participants were enrolled (~30% were ACPA-positive). Individuals randomized to the treatment arm were also given a single dose of intramuscular methylprednisolone (120 mg). After 2 years of follow-up, there was no difference in the development of clinical arthritis between the groups (MTX 19%, placebo 19%). However, MRI-detected joint inflammation, functional scores, pain, and morning stiffness all favored the MTX arm at the study endpoint of 2 years.

Notably, although the Vitamin D and Omega-3 Trial (VITAL) study was designed to determine the effects of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on oncologic and cardiovascular outcomes⁸⁹ and did not recruit individuals based on risk factors for RA, it also examined autoimmune disease onset as an exploratory outcome. The combination of supplements showed a significant reduction in incident RA after 5 years of follow-up,⁹⁰ albeit in a subgroup analysis (probable incident RA, hazard ratio 0.27, 95% CI 0.09-0.80, P = 0.02).

Several other prevention studies have yet to publish formal peer-reviewed results. However, preliminary results from the Strategy to Prevent the Onset of Clinically-Apparent Rheumatoid Arthritis (StopRA) study in 144 ACPA-positive participants (> 2 × upper limit of normal [ULN]) randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) for 1 year (≤ 6.5 mg/ kg/day) vs placebo show that HCQ does not delay or prevent RA onset compared to placebo.⁹¹ In contrast, preliminary data from the randomized, masked, placebo-controlled Abatacept Reversing Subclinical Inflammation as Measured by MRI in ACPA Positive Arthralgia (ARIAA) trial demonstrated that abatacept (125 mg subcutaneous [SC] weekly) for 6 months in ACPA-positive individuals who also had hand MRI synovitis/ tenosynovitis resulted in reduced joint inflammation by MRI as well as reduced progression to clinical RA up to 1 year after drug cessation.^{92,93} In addition, preliminary data from the Arthritis Prevention in the Pre-Clinical Phase of RA With Abatacept (APIPPRA) study (randomized, masked, placebo-controlled) demonstrated that 1 year of abatacept (125 mg SC weekly) reduced rates of progression to clinical RA (by 2010 criteria) at 2 years.94,95

Challenges and opportunities in pre-RA research

There are multiple challenges in the design, implementation, and interpretation of clinical trials and observational studies in pre-RA, and there are efforts underway to harmonize such studies in order to optimize the scientific results.⁹⁶ First, in terms of the prevention trials, it is noteworthy that the inclusion criteria from these trials are quite different, leading to a heterogeneity of findings. This is likely primarily a result of a current lack of consensus on what constitutes a high-risk state for future

RA. Second, it is generally agreed that ACPA positivity is a risk factor for future RA and as such, many observational and clinical trials have focused on ACPA-positive individuals (with or without additional risk factors). However, the prevalence of autoantibody positivity is typically < 5% and likely closer to 1% in the general population,⁹⁷ requiring large-scale efforts to identify autoantibody-positive individuals. Third, RA autoantibodies have been shown to undergo seroconversion, or reversion to a seronegative state.⁷⁸ Further, the fluctuation of autoantibody positivity may indicate evolving biologic processes and ultimately affect risk for RA, although more studies are needed to fully understand the implications on risk of autoantibody reversion to a negative state. Fourth, ultimately, the preferences and participation of individuals at high risk for RA will drive the performance of clinical trials as well as the actual use of preventive treatments. Indeed, many individuals, despite having relatively high risk of developing RA (ie, ACPA-positive), are not interested in participating in clinical trials.⁹⁸ As such, future research is needed to better understand recruitment strategies and participants' motivations and perspectives to enhance the efficiency of RA prevention trials.⁹⁶ This is critically important for populations that are at potentially the highest risk for RA development and who may benefit most from preventive interventions. This includes FDRs of patients with RA who may have up to a 5% to 7% lifetime risk of developing RA,99 as well as Indigenous communities in North America who have a 2- to 3-fold higher prevalence of RA compared to White individuals.^{78,100} Finally, choosing the right intervention or study drug-and the right individual and the right time to give it during pre-RA—is a crucial consideration for RA prevention trials. This is a balancing act between several competing factors: (1) targeting the appropriate biologic process for effective prevention, (2) an individual's actual risk of developing RA, (3) the side effects and/or tolerability of the study drug, and (4) ultimately, the cost-effectiveness of an intervention. Identifying a targeted therapeutic that specifically inhibits an immunological process that is active in the pre-RA stage would be ideal, and studies will need to identify which biologic pathways are most important and feasible to address to affect prevention.¹⁰¹ It is also worth considering the results from the TREAT EARLIER study, as above, suggesting that MTX may not prevent RA, but modify its natural course. It is well known that treating RA in the above-mentioned window of opportunity improves disease control and remission rates.¹⁰² It remains possible that at-risk individuals who start standard DMARDs such as MTX may derive benefits outside of disease prevention/delay, which may still be clinically important. For those at lower risk (eg, ACPA-positive without symptoms, low-level ACPA, normal imaging), interventions with a tolerable safety profile, or lifestyle modifications such as dietary interventions, warrant future study to determine their efficacy in delaying or preventing RA. There may also be certain biologic pathways that are important in certain stages of RA (eg, potential mucosal inflammation in a nonarticular stage of RA development) requiring interventions that are different from agents that may be more effective once articular inflammation has developed.⁶³ These areas will need further investigation.

Current clinical care in pre-RA

Research into RA prevention is ongoing, yet clinicians continue to evaluate individuals in various states of pre-RA in their clinics; these can include individuals who have ACPA and/or RF testing for MSK complaints or other organ injury (ie, lung disease), yet do not have clinical RA on examination. There is no standard of care for the management of these individuals; however, some suggestions are listed in Box 2. In general, these approaches involve careful evaluation of the patient to determine if there is active clinical RA, as well as counseling on the natural history and personal risk of clinical RA, signs and symptoms of clinical RA, and shared decision making around the optimal approach for management.

A major emerging consideration is the use of imaging to identify active clinical RA in the event that IA is not seen on physical examination. Indeed, US and MRI are increasingly being used in rheumatology clinics worldwide to aid in the diagnosis and management of IA, and several of the clinical trials have used the presence of articular inflammation on MRI findings as inclusion criteria (Table 2). In addition, a study by Mankia and colleagues has identified that ~70% of rheumatology clinicians would likely use a DMARD in an individual who was ACPA-positive without clinically apparent IA yet had an abnormal imaging finding.¹⁰³ Further, a study by Krijbolder and colleagues found that individuals who participated in the TREAT EARLIER study who had CSA plus MRI findings of joint inflammation were willing to be treated with DMARDs, even acknowledging such treatment may only improve symptoms and not reduce progression to clinical RA.104 However, although research in this area is progressing, the diagnostic accuracy of imaging for true IA has not been well established and there are known false-positive as well as false-negative findings that are related to inherent technologic issues as well as user/interpreter factors.¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ Further, although there are several synovial biopsy studies that have included at-risk individuals,^{52,108} there is not yet a broad conclusion on how imaging corresponds with biopsy findings

in pre-RA. In addition, there are currently no consensus guidelines on the use and interpretation of imaging to diagnose IA in absence of physical examination findings of IA. It is also important to note that even in the TREAT EARLIER trial, only ~20% of overall participants with MRI evidence of inflammation at baseline went on to develop clinical RA. As such, until more data and guidelines are available, it will be left to individual practitioners to use imaging findings cautiously in the diagnosis and management of IA in the absence of physical examination findings of clinical RA, with the emphasis that clinicians should be wary of overtreating individuals in whom we do not have clear evidence-based guidelines that support they would benefit from DMARD therapy.¹⁰⁹

Conclusion

There is increasing understanding of the pre-RA stage of disease development, which has already driven the completion or development of multiple clinical prevention trials. Importantly, growing interest in this area and the huge potential for improvements in the public health impact of this disease is driving even more studies in understanding the biology of disease development and developing effective preventive interventions; efforts are also underway in other rheumatic autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus and psoriatic arthritis.^{110,111} Further, there is now an approved preventive intervention in type 1 diabetes, which is a disease that has a model of development similar to RA.¹¹² It is exciting to see the field moving forward to a point where rheumatologists can include in clinical care the discussions around prediction of the clinical onset of RA, and potentially of other rheumatic diseases, as well as the use of potentially soon-to-be-approved preventive interventions.

REFERENCES

 Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988;31:315-24.

Box 2. Clinical care of an individual who exhibits RA-related autoimmunity or clinical features in the absence of clinical RA.

- (1)Careful assessment to ensure that clinical RA is not present (may include imaging, with careful interpretation of findings). Consider evaluation for other conditions that may be related to autoantibody positivity. For example, given some association of ACPA with lung disease, consider symptom-driven evaluation for lung disease; if RF+, consider additional studies to ensure that autoantibody is not related to another condition (eg, hepatitis C, hematologic malignancy). Discussion of rates of progression to clinical RA. In general, studies suggest that positivity for ACPA (2)has ~20-30% PPV for the onset of clinical RA within 3-5 yrs, although actual risk may be higher or lower depending on many factors. (3) Consideration of lifestyle interventions that may reduce risk (eg, smoking cessation, exercise, optimal body weight, oral health). A caveat is that these interventions have not been proven to reduce RA risk in controlled trials, but they may have general health benefits. Counseling regarding signs and symptoms of evolving RA, and consideration for routine follow-up (4)(perhaps annually).
- (5) Treatment once clinical RA develops (caution regarding overtreatment if IA is identified only by imaging and not by clinical examination).
- (6) Monitoring for advances in prevention that will affect clinical care.

ACPA: anticitrullinated protein antibody; IA: inflammatory arthritis; PPV: positive predictive value; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RF: rheumatoid factor.

- Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, et al. 2010 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/ European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:2569-81.
- Fraenkel L, Bathon JM, England BR, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021;73:1108-23.
- Baker KF, Skelton AJ, Lendrem DW, et al. Predicting drug-free remission in rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective interventional cohort study. J Autoimmun 2019;105:102298.
- Einarsson JT, Willim M, Ernestam S, Saxne T, Geborek P, Kapetanovic MC. Prevalence of sustained remission in rheumatoid arthritis: impact of criteria sets and disease duration, a nationwide study in Sweden. Rheumatology 2019;58:227-36.
- Gul HL, Eugenio G, Rabin T, et al. Defining remission in rheumatoid arthritis: does it matter to the patient? A comparison of multi-dimensional remission criteria and patient reported outcomes. Rheumatology 2019:59:613-21.
- Scott IC, Ibrahim F, Panayi G, et al. The frequency of remission and low disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and their ability to identify people with low disability and normal quality of life. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2019;49:20-6.
- 8. Einarsson JT, Willim M, Saxne T, Geborek P, Kapetanovic MC. Secular trends of sustained remission in rheumatoid arthritis, a nationwide study in Sweden. Rheumatology 2020;59:205-12.
- 9. Gul HL, Ferreira JF, Emery P. Remission in rheumatoid arthritis: is it all the same? Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol 2015;8:575-86.
- Prince FH, Bykerk VP, Shadick NA, et al. Sustained rheumatoid arthritis remission is uncommon in clinical practice. Arthritis Res Ther 2012;14:R68.
- 11. Rantapää-Dahlqvist S, de Jong BA, Berglin E, et al. Antibodies against cyclic citrullinated peptide and IgA rheumatoid factor predict the development of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:2741-9.
- 12. Nielen MM, van Schaardenburg D, Reesink HW, et al. Specific autoantibodies precede the symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis: a study of serial measurements in blood donors. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:380-6.
- Deane KD, O'Donnell CI, Hueber W, et al. The number of elevated cytokines and chemokines in preclinical seropositive rheumatoid arthritis predicts time to diagnosis in an age-dependent manner. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:3161-72.
- Gan RW, Trouw LA, Shi J, et al. Anti-carbamylated protein antibodies are present prior to rheumatoid arthritis and are associated with its future diagnosis. J Rheumatol 2015;42:572-9.
- del Puente A, Knowler WC, Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH. The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis is predicted by rheumatoid factor titer in a longitudinal population study. Arthritis Rheum 1988;31:1239-44.
- Silman AJ, Hennessy E, Ollier B. Incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in a genetically predisposed population. Br J Rheumatol 1992;31:365-8.
- Shi J, van de Stadt LA, Levarht EW, et al. Anti-carbamylated protein (anti-CarP) antibodies precede the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:780-3.
- Mikuls TR, Edison J, Meeshaw E, et al. Autoantibodies to malondialdehyde-acetaldehyde are detected prior to rheumatoid arthritis diagnosis and after other disease specific autoantibodies. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020;72:2025-9.
- Kolfenbach JR, Deane KD, Derber LA, et al. Autoimmunity to peptidyl arginine deiminase type 4 precedes clinical onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:2633-9.
- 20. Kelmenson LB, Wagner BD, McNair BK, et al. Timing of elevations of autoantibody isotypes prior to diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020;72:251-61.

- Burgers LE, Ten Brinck RM, van der Helm-van Mil AHM. Is joint pain in patients with arthralgia suspicious for progression to rheumatoid arthritis explained by subclinical inflammation? A cross-sectional MRI study. Rheumatology 2019;58:86-93.
- 22. Mankia K, D'Agostino MA, Rowbotham E, et al. MRI inflammation of the hand interosseous tendons occurs in anti-CCP-positive at-risk individuals and may precede the development of clinical synovitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2019;78:781-6.
- 23. Deane KD, Demoruelle MK, Kelmenson LB, Kuhn KA, Norris JM, Holers VM. Genetic and environmental risk factors for rheumatoid arthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2017;31:3-18.
- 24. Hunt L, Hensor EM, Nam J, et al. T cell subsets: an immunological biomarker to predict progression to clinical arthritis in ACPA-positive individuals. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1884-9.
- 25. Lübbers J, Vosslamber S, van de Stadt LA, et al. B cell signature contributes to the prediction of RA development in patients with arthralgia. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1786-8.
- Lübbers J, Brink M, van de Stadt LA, et al. The type I IFN signature as a biomarker of preclinical rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:776-80.
- 27. Bettner LF, Peterson RA, Bergstedt DT, et al. Combinations of anticyclic citrullinated protein antibody, rheumatoid factor, and serum calprotectin positivity are associated with the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis within 3 years. ACR Open Rheumatol 2021;3:684-9.
- Kokkonen H, Söderström I, Rocklöv J, Hallmans G, Lejon K, Rantapää Dahlqvist S. Up-regulation of cytokines and chemokines predates the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:383-91.
- 29. Nielen MM, van Schaardenburg D, Reesink HW, et al. Increased levels of C-reactive protein in serum from blood donors before the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:2423-7.
- Krijbolder DI, Verstappen M, Wouters F, et al. Comparison between 1.5T and 3.0-T MRI: both field strengths sensitively detect subclinical inflammation of hand and forefoot in patients with arthralgia. Scand J Rheumatol 2022;51:284-90.
- 31. Di Matteo A, Mankia K, Duquenne L, et al. Ultrasound erosions in the feet best predict progression to inflammatory arthritis in anti-CCP positive at-risk individuals without clinical synovitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:901-7.
- 32. Gerlag DM, Raza K, van Baarsen LG, et al. EULAR recommendations for terminology and research in individuals at risk of rheumatoid arthritis: report from the Study Group for Risk Factors for Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:638-41.
- Gregersen PK, Silver J, Winchester RJ. The shared epitope hypothesis. An approach to understanding the molecular genetics of susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1987;30:1205-13.
- Rakieh C, Nam JL, Hunt L, et al. Predicting the development of clinical arthritis in anti-CCP positive individuals with non-specific musculoskeletal symptoms: a prospective observational cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1659-66.
- 35. Bergstedt DT, Tarter WJ, Peterson RA, et al. Antibodies to citrullinated protein antigens, rheumatoid factor isotypes and the shared epitope and the near-term development of clinically apparent rheumatoid arthritis. Front Immunol 2022;13:916277.
- 36. Wouters F, Maurits MP, van Boheemen L, et al. Determining in which pre-arthritis stage HLA-shared epitope alleles and smoking exert their effect on the development of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:48-55.
- 37. Karlson EW, Chibnik LB, Kraft P, et al. Cumulative association of 22 genetic variants with seropositive rheumatoid arthritis risk. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1077-85.

- Liu X, Tedeschi SK, Barbhaiya M, et al. Impact and timing of smoking cessation on reducing risk of rheumatoid arthritis among women in the nurses' health studies. Arthritis Care Res 2019;71:914-24.
- de Hair MJ, Landewé RB, van de Sande MG, et al. Smoking and overweight determine the likelihood of developing rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:1654-8.
- 40. Tedeschi SK, Cui J, Arkema EV, et al. Elevated BMI and antibodies to citrullinated proteins interact to increase rheumatoid arthritis risk and shorten time to diagnosis: A nested case-control study of women in the Nurses' Health Studies. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2017;46:692-8.
- Ljung L, Rantapää-Dahlqvist S. Abdominal obesity, gender and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis – a nested case-control study. Arthritis Res Ther 2016;18:277.
- 42. Zaccardelli A, Friedlander HM, Ford JA, Sparks JA. Potential of lifestyle changes for reducing the risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis: is an ounce of prevention worth a pound of cure? Clin Ther 2019;41:1323-45.
- Sundström B, Johansson I, Rantapää-Dahlqvist S. Diet and alcohol as risk factors for rheumatoid arthritis: a nested case-control study. Rheumatol Int 2015;35:533-9.
- Bergström U, Jacobsson LT, Nilsson JÅ, Wirfält E, Turesson C. Smoking, low formal level of education, alcohol consumption, and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 2013; 42:123-30.
- 45. van de Stadt LA, Witte BI, Bos WH, van Schaardenburg D. A prediction rule for the development of arthritis in seropositive arthralgia patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:1920-6.
- 46. Gan RW, Bemis EA, Demoruelle MK, et al. The association between omega-3 fatty acid biomarkers and inflammatory arthritis in an anti-citrullinated protein antibody positive population. Rheumatology 2017;56:2229-36.
- Alpizar-Rodriguez D, Mueller RB, Möller B, et al. Female hormonal factors and the development of anti-citrullinated protein antibodies in women at risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 2017;56:1579-85.
- Alpízar-Rodríguez D, Pluchino N, Canny G, Gabay C, Finckh A. The role of female hormonal factors in the development of rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 2017;56:1254-63.
- Bhatia SS, Majka DS, Kittelson JM, et al. Rheumatoid factor seropositivity is inversely associated with oral contraceptive use in women without rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:267-9.
- Brulhart L, Alpízar-Rodríguez D, Nissen MS, et al. Ultrasound is not associated with the presence of systemic autoimmunity or symptoms in individuals at risk for rheumatoid arthritis. RMD Open 2019;5:e000922.
- 51. van Steenbergen HW, Mangnus L, Reijnierse M, Huizinga TW, van der Helm-van Mil AH. Clinical factors, anticitrullinated peptide antibodies and MRI-detected subclinical inflammation in relation to progression from clinically suspect arthralgia to arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1824-30.
- 52. de Hair MJ, van de Sande MG, Ramwadhdoebe TH, et al. Features of the synovium of individuals at risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis: implications for understanding preclinical rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:513-22.
- 53. van de Sande MG, de Hair MJ, van der Leij C, et al. Different stages of rheumatoid arthritis: features of the synovium in the preclinical phase. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:772-7.
- Holers VM, Demoruelle MK, Kuhn KA, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis and the mucosal origins hypothesis: protection turns to destruction. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2018;14:542-57.

- 55. Fischer A, Solomon JJ, du Bois RM, et al. Lung disease with anti-CCP antibodies but not rheumatoid arthritis or connective tissue disease. Respir Med 2012;106:1040-7.
- Kronzer VL, Huang W, Zaccardelli A, et al. Association of sinusitis and upper respiratory tract diseases with incident rheumatoid arthritis: A case-control study. J Rheumatol 2022;49:358-64.
- Zaccardelli A, Liu X, Ford JA, et al. Asthma and elevation of anti-citrullinated protein antibodies prior to the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2019;21:246.
- Willis VC, Demoruelle MK, Derber LA, et al. Sputum autoantibodies in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis and subjects at-risk for future clinically apparent disease. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65:2545-54.
- 59. Seifert JA, Bemis EA, Ramsden K, et al. Association of antibodies to Prevotella copri in anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide-positive individuals at risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis and in patients with early or established rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2023;75:507-16.
- 60. Kroese JM, Brandt BW, Buijs MJ, et al. Differences in the oral microbiome in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis and individuals at risk of rheumatoid arthritis compared to healthy individuals. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021;73:1986-93.
- Alpizar-Rodriguez D, Lesker TR, Gronow A, et al. Prevotella copri in individuals at risk for rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2019;78:590-3.
- 62. Chriswell ME, Lefferts AR, Clay MR, et al. Clonal IgA and IgG autoantibodies from individuals at risk for rheumatoid arthritis identify an arthritogenic strain of *Subdoligranulum*. Sci Transl Med 2022;14:eabn5166.
- 63. Holers VM, Kuhn KA, Demoruelle MK, et al. Mechanism-driven strategies for prevention of rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Autoimmun 2022;2:109-19.
- 64. Mankia K, Emery P. What can palindromic rheumatism tell us? Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2017;31:90-8.
- 65. Stack RJ, van Tuyl LH, Sloots M, et al. Symptom complexes in patients with seropositive arthralgia and in patients newly diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis: a qualitative exploration of symptom development. Rheumatology 2014;53:1646-53.
- Duquenne L, Hensor EM, Wilson M, et al. Predicting inflammatory arthritis in at-risk persons: development of scores for risk stratification. Ann Intern Med 2023;176:1027-36.
- 67. Demoruelle MK, Parish MC, Derber LA, et al. Performance of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide assays differs in subjects at increased risk of rheumatoid arthritis and subjects with established disease. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65:2243-52.
- Di Matteo A, Mankia K, Duquenne L, et al. Third-generation anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies improve prediction of clinical arthritis in individuals at risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020;72:1820-8.
- 69. Verheul MK, Böhringer S, van Delft MAM, et al. Triple positivity for anti-citrullinated protein autoantibodies, rheumatoid factor, and anti-carbamylated protein antibodies conferring high specificity for rheumatoid arthritis: implications for very early identification of at-risk individuals. Arthritis Rheumatol 2018;70:1721-31.
- Ferucci ED, Darrah E, Smolik I, et al. Prevalence of antipeptidylarginine deiminase type 4 antibodies in rheumatoid arthritis and unaffected first-degree relatives in indigenous North American populations. J Rheumatol 2013;40:1523-8.
- Ponchel F, Burska AN, Hunt L, et al. T-cell subset abnormalities predict progression along the inflammatory arthritis disease continuum: implications for management. Sci Rep 2020;10:3669.
- 72. van Steenbergen HW, Aletaha D, Beaart-van de Voorde LJ, et al. EULAR definition of arthralgia suspicious for progression to rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:491-6.

- Ten Brinck RM, van Steenbergen HW, van Delft MAM, et al. The risk of individual autoantibodies, autoantibody combinations and levels for arthritis development in clinically suspect arthralgia. Rheumatology 2017;56:2145-53.
- 74. Burgers LE, Siljehult F, Ten Brinck RM, et al. Validation of the EULAR definition of arthralgia suspicious for progression to rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 2017;56:2123-8.
- van Boheemen L, Ter Wee MM, Falahee M, et al. The Symptoms in Persons At Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis (SPARRA) questionnaire: predicting clinical arthritis development. Scand J Rheumatol 2023;52:460-7.
- 76. Ramos-Remus C, Castillo-Ortiz JD, Aguilar-Lozano L, et al. Autoantibodies in prediction of the development of rheumatoid arthritis among healthy relatives of patients with the disease. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015;67:2837-44.
- 77. Bemis EA, Demoruelle MK, Seifert JA, et al. Factors associated with progression to inflammatory arthritis in first-degree relatives of individuals with RA following autoantibody positive screening in a non-clinical setting. Ann Rheum Dis 2021;80:154-61.
- 78. Tanner S, Dufault B, Smolik I, et al. A prospective study of the development of inflammatory arthritis in the family members of indigenous North American people with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2019;71:1494-503.
- Gilbert BTP, Lamacchia C, Mongin D, et al. Cohort profile: SCREEN-RA: design, methods and perspectives of a Swiss cohort study of first-degree relatives of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. BMJ 2021;11:e048409.
- 80. van Nies JA, Tsonaka R, Gaujoux-Viala C, Fautrel B, van der Helm-van Mil AH. Evaluating relationships between symptom duration and persistence of rheumatoid arthritis: does a window of opportunity exist? Results on the Leiden early arthritis clinic and ESPOIR cohorts. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:806-12.
- Kyburz D, Finckh A. The importance of early treatment for the prognosis of rheumatoid arthritis. Swiss Med Wkly 2013;143:w13865.
- Burgers LE, Raza K, van der Helm-van Mil AH. Window of opportunity in rheumatoid arthritis – definitions and supporting evidence: from old to new perspectives. RMD Open 2019;5:e000870.
- Mankia K, Emery P. A new window of opportunity in rheumatoid arthritis: targeting at-risk individuals. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2016;28:260-6.
- 84. van der Woude D, Young A, Jayakumar K, et al. Prevalence of and predictive factors for sustained disease-modifying antirheumatic drug-free remission in rheumatoid arthritis: results from two large early arthritis cohorts. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:2262-71.
- 85. Bos WH, Dijkmans BA, Boers M, van de Stadt RJ, van Schaardenburg D. Effect of dexamethasone on autoantibody levels and arthritis development in patients with arthralgia: a randomised trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:571-4.
- Gerlag DM, Safy M, Maijer KI, et al. Effects of B-cell directed therapy on the preclinical stage of rheumatoid arthritis: the PRAIRI study. Ann Rheum Dis 2019;78:179-85.
- van Boheemen L, Turk S, Beers-Tas MV, et al. Atorvastatin is unlikely to prevent rheumatoid arthritis in high risk individuals: results from the prematurely stopped STAtins to Prevent Rheumatoid Arthritis (STAPRA) trial. RMD Open 2021;7: e001591.
- 88. Krijbolder DI, Verstappen M, van Dijk BT, et al. Intervention with methotrexate in patients with arthralgia at risk of rheumatoid arthritis to reduce the development of persistent arthritis and its disease burden (TREAT EARLIER): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, proof-of-concept trial. Lancet 2022;400:283-94.
- 89. Manson JE, Cook NR, Lee IM, et al. Vitamin D supplements and

prevention of cancer and cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med 2019;380:33-44.

- Hahn J, Cook NR, Alexander EK, et al. Vitamin D and marine omega 3 fatty acid supplementation and incident autoimmune disease: VITAL randomized controlled trial. BMJ 2022;376:e066452.
- 91. Deane K, Striebich C, Feser M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine does not prevent the future development of rheumatoid arthritis in a population with baseline high levels of antibodies to citrullinated protein antigens and absence of inflammatory arthritis: interim analysis of the StopRA trial [abstract]. Arthritis Rheumatol 2022;74 Suppl 9.
- 92. Rech J, Kleyer A, Østergaard M, et al. Abatacept significantly reduces subclinical inflammation during treatment (6 months), this persists after discontinuation (12 months), resulting in a delay in the clinical development of RA in patients at risk of RA (the ARIAA study) [abstract]. Arthritis Rheumatol 2022;74 Suppl 9.
- 93. Rech J, Østergaard M, Tascilar M, et al. Abatacept reverses subclinical arthritis in patients with high-risk to develop rheumatoid arthritis -results from the randomized, placebo-controlled ARIAA study in RA-at risk patients [abstract]. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021;73 Suppl 9.
- 94. Al-Laith M, Jasenecova M, Abraham S, et al. Arthritis prevention in the pre-clinical phase of RA with abatacept (the APIPPRA study): a multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled clinical trial protocol. Trials 2019;20:429.
- 95. Cope A, Jasenecova M, Vasconcelos J, et al. OP0130 Abatacept in individuals at risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis: results from the arthritis prevention in the pre-clinical phase of RA with abatacept (APIPPRA) trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2023;82:86.
- Mankia K, Siddle HJ, Kerschbaumer A, et al. EULAR points to consider for conducting clinical trials and observational studies in individuals at risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2021;80:1286-98.
- 97. Finckh A, Courvoisier D, Lamacchia C; Recherche clinique en rhumatismes inflammatoires. Measuring ACPA in the general population or primary care: is it useful? RMD Open 2020;6:e001085.
- 98. van Boheemen L, Ter Wee MM, Seppen B, van Schaardenburg D. How to enhance recruitment of individuals at risk of rheumatoid arthritis into trials aimed at prevention: understanding the barriers and facilitators. RMD Open 2021;7:e001592.
- Frisell T, Saevarsdottir S, Askling J. Family history of rheumatoid arthritis: an old concept with new developments. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2016;12:335-43.
- 100. McDougall C, Hurd K, Barnabe C. Systematic review of rheumatic disease epidemiology in the indigenous populations of Canada, the United States, Australia, and New Zealand. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2017;46:675-86.
- 101. ACR. Convergence 2022 abstract supplement. Arthritis Rheumatol 2022;74 Suppl 9:10.1002/art.42355.
- 102. Monti S, Montecucco C, Bugatti S, Caporali R. Rheumatoid arthritis treatment: the earlier the better to prevent joint damage. RMD Open 2015;1 Suppl 1:e000057.
- 103. Mankia K, Briggs C, Emery P. How are rheumatologists managing anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies-positive patients who do not have arthritis? J Rheumatol 2020;47:305-6.
- 104. Krijbolder DI, Khidir SJH, van der Helm-van Mil AH. To treat or not to treat? Current attitudes on treatment aimed at modifying the disease burden in clinically suspect arthralgia: a survey among participants of the TREAT EARLIER trial and healthcare professionals. RMD Open 2023;9:e003031.
- 105. Zufferey P, Courvoisier DS, Nissen MJ, et al. Discordances between

clinical and ultrasound measurements of disease activity among RA patients followed in real life. Joint Bone Spine 2020;87:57-62.

- 106. Nam JL, Hensor EM, Hunt L, Conaghan PG, Wakefield RJ, Emery P. Ultrasound findings predict progression to inflammatory arthritis in anti-CCP antibody-positive patients without clinical synovitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:2060-7.
- 107. Zabotti A, Finzel S, Baraliakos X, Aouad K, Ziade N, Iagnocco A. Imaging in the preclinical phases of rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2020;38:536-42.
- Floudas A, Neto N, Orr C, et al. Loss of balance between protective and pro-inflammatory synovial tissue T-cell polyfunctionality predates clinical onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:193-205.
- 109. Landewé RBM. Overdiagnosis and overtreatment in rheumatology: a little caution is in order. Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:1394-6.
- Olsen NJ, James JA, Arriens C, et al. Study of anti-malarials in incomplete lupus erythematosus (SMILE): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2018;19:694.
- 111. Bell S, Merola JF, Webster DE, et al. Aiming for cure and preventive initiatives in psoriatic disease: building synergy at NPF, GRAPPA, and PPACMAN. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2020;22:78.
- Herold KC, Bundy BN, Long SA, et al. An anti-CD3 antibody, teplizumab, in relatives at risk for Type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019;381:603-13.

- 113. Ferucci ED, Templin DW, Lanier AP. Rheumatoid arthritis in American Indians and Alaska Natives: a review of the literature. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2005;34:662-7.
- 114. Ren L, Guo P, Sun QM, et al. Number of parity and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis in women: a dose—response meta-analysis of observational studies. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2017;43:1428-40.
- 115. Demoruelle MK, Weisman MH, Simonian PL, et al. Brief report: airways abnormalities and rheumatoid arthritis-related autoantibodies in subjects without arthritis: early injury or initiating site of autoimmunity? Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:1756-61.
- 116. Mankia K, Cheng Z, Do T, et al. Prevalence of periodontal disease and periodontopathic bacteria in anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibody-positive at-risk adults without arthritis. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e195394.
- 117. Polinski KJ, Bemis EA, Feser M, et al. Perceived stress and inflammatory arthritis: a prospective investigation in the studies of the etiologies of rheumatoid arthritis cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2020;72:1766-71.
- Marrie RA, Walld R, Bolton JM, et al. Rising incidence of psychiatric disorders before diagnosis of immune-mediated inflammatory disease. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2019;28:333-42.